

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,450	10/19/2001	Keith E.G. Emery	10007533-1	4069
759	90 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SHAH, MANISH S	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	=		2853	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,450	EMERY, KEITH E.G.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit)
	Manish S. Shah	2853	A
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statular - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 August 2004.		
· · · ·)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	•	·	i
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objected to the application of the specification is objected to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 10 The oath or declaration is objected to the 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 11 The oath or declaration is objected to be 12 The oath or declaration is objected to be 12 The oath or declaration is objected to be 12 The oath or declaration is objected to be 12 The oath or declaration is objected to be 12 The oath or declaration is objected to be 13 The oath or declaration is objected to be 13 The oath or declaration is objected to be 14 The oath or declaration is objected to	withdrawn from consideration. d. on and/or election requirement. Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar ne correction is required if the drawing.	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	1).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/046,450

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 8 &11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saruta et al. (# US 6533383).

Saruta et al. discloses a print cartridge including read and/or write storage medium (see Abstract; column: 16, line: 43-52) operable using an electromagnetic beam (column: 16, line: 45-52), wherein cartridge including inkjet printer cartridge (see Abstract; figure: 2, 3a-3b; 7, 8a-8b).

2. Claims 32-33, 35-36 & 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saruta et al. (# US 6533383).

Saruta et al. discloses a replaceable print cartridge including read and/or write storage medium (see Abstract; column: 16, line: 43-52, figure: 2, 7) operable using an electromagnetic beam (column: 16, line: 45-52), wherein cartridge including inkjet printer cartridge (see Abstract; figure: 2, 3a-3b; 7, 8a-8b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saruta et al. (# US 6533383) in view of Gamblin et al. (# US 3656827).

Saruta et al. discloses a replaceable print cartridge including read and/or write storage medium (see Abstract; column: 16, line: 43-52, figure: 2, 7) operable using an electromagnetic beam (column: 16, line: 45-52), wherein cartridge including inkjet printer cartridge (see Abstract; figure: 2, 3a-3b; 7, 8a-8b).

Saruta et al. differs from the claim of the present invention in that the storage medium comprises a hologram using an electromagnetic beam.

Gamblin teaches the storage medium is a hologram (Abstract), the hologram comprises a writeable, readable (see Abstract, Column: 3, line: 22-50) and hologram can be written using a laser which is electromagnetic beam.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to have the storage medium comprises a hologram using electromagnetic beam as taught by Gamblin. The motivation of doing so is obtain a greater volumetric efficiency in storage and lower cost.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,450

Art Unit: 2853

4. Claims 9 & 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saruta et al. (# US 6533383) in view of Gamblin et al. (# US 3656827).

Saruta et al. teaches all the limitation of the print cartridge except that the storage medium comprises a hologram using an electromagnetic beam.

Gamblin teaches the storage medium is a hologram (Abstract), the hologram comprises a writeable, readable (see Abstract, Column: 3, line: 22-50) and hologram can be written using a laser which is electromagnetic beam.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to have the storage medium comprises a hologram using electromagnetic beam as taught by Gamblin. The motivation of doing so is obtain a greater volumetric efficiency in storage and lower cost.

5. Claims 10 & 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saruta et al. (# US 6533383) in view of Bullock et al. (# US 5,812,156).

Saruta et al. teaches all the limitation of the print cartridge except that the storage medium comprises indicia of authenticity.

Bullock teaches the storage medium comprises indicia of authenticity (column: 4, line: 23-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to have the storage medium comprises indicia of authenticity and manufacturing line as taught by Bullock. The motivation of doing so is provide updated parameters to customers who already have installed printers.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,450

Art Unit: 2853

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

6. Claims 13-31 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSS 8/21/04

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER